Couplings of the low-lying S_{11} resonances to pseudoscalar meson and baryons

Chunsheng An and Bijan Saghai

CEA-Saclay, France

NSTAR2011, JLAB, USA, 17-20 May 2011

Chunsheng An and Bijan Saghai NSTAR2011, JLAB, USA, 17-20 May 2011

◆□ ▶ ◆□ ▶ ◆ □ ▶ ◆ □ ▶ ●

Outline



- Pramework: Chiral quark model
 - The wave function model
 - The transition amplitudes

3 Numerical Results

- qqq model: $SU(6) \otimes O(3)$ conserved
- qqq model: $SU(6) \otimes O(3)$ broken
- Results includ contributions of 5Q

Conclusion

-∢ ≣ ▶

1 INTRODUCTION

Chunsheng An and Bijan Saghai NSTAR2011, JLAB, USA, 17-20 May 2011

・ロト ・ 理 ト ・ ヨ ト ・

Structure of $S_{11}(1535)$ and $S_{11}(1650)$ & Coupling constants: Previous Works

Structure of $S_{11}(1535)$ and $S_{11}(1650)$:

- Hadronic level
 - PWA: S-wave resonances
 - SU(3)dynamics: Dynamically generated KΣ KΛ quasi-bound state
 - N. Kaiser, P. B. Siegel and W. Weise, Phys. Lett. B 362, 23 (1995).
 - N. Kaiser, T. Waas and and W. Weise, Nucl. Phys. A 612, 297 (1997).
 - T. Inoue, E. Oset, and J. Vincente Vacas, Phys. Rev. C 65, 035204 (2002).
 - P. C. Bruns, M. Mai and Ulf-G. Meissner, Phys. Lett. B 697, 254 (2011).
- Quark Model: first orbitally excited states

S. Capstick and W. Roberts, Prog. Part. Nucl. Phys. 45, S241 (2000)

Structure of $S_{11}(1535)$ and $S_{11}(1650)$ & Coupling constants: Previous Works

Structure of $S_{11}(1535)$ and $S_{11}(1650)$:

- Hadronic level
 - PWA: S-wave resonances
 - SU(3)dynamics: Dynamically generated KΣ KΛ quasi-bound state
 - N. Kaiser, P. B. Siegel and W. Weise, Phys. Lett. B 362, 23 (1995).
 - N. Kaiser, T. Waas and and W. Weise, Nucl. Phys. A 612, 297 (1997).
 - T. Inoue, E. Oset, and J. Vincente Vacas, Phys. Rev. C 65, 035204 (2002).
 - P. C. Bruns, M. Mai and Ulf-G. Meissner, Phys. Lett. B 697, 254 (2011).
- Quark Model: first orbitally excited states

S. Capstick and W. Roberts, Prog. Part. Nucl. Phys. 45, S241 (2000)

Structure of $S_{11}(1535)$ and $S_{11}(1650)$ & Coupling constants: Previous Works

Coupling constants:

- SU(3) dynamics
 - $S_{11}(1535)$: $|g_{\pi N}| < |g_{K\Lambda}| < |g_{\eta n}| \sim |g_{K\Sigma}|$ [T. Inoue, E. Oset, and J. Vincente Vacas, Phys. Rev. C 65, 035204 (2002).]
 - $S_{11}(1535): |g_{\pi^0 p}| \sim |g_{K^+ \Sigma^0}| < |g_{\pi^+ n}| \sim |g_{K^0 \Sigma^+}| < |g_{\eta P}| < |g_{K^+ \Lambda}|$ $S_{11}(1650): |g_{K^+ \Lambda}| < |g_{\pi^0 p}| < |g_{\pi^+ n}| \sim |g_{K^+ \Sigma^0}| < |g_{\eta p}| < |g_{K^0 \Sigma^+}|$ P. C. Bruns, M. Mai and Ulf-G. Meissner, Phys. Lett. B 697, 254 (2011).
- Isobar model to reproduce $J/\Psi \rightarrow \bar{p}p\eta$ and $J/\Psi \rightarrow \bar{p}K^+\Lambda$: $S_{11}(1535)$: $|g_{\pi N}| < |g_{\eta N}| < |g_{K\Lambda}|$ and $\frac{g_{K\Lambda}}{g_{\eta N}} \sim 1.3 \pm 0.3$ [B. C. Liu and B. S. Zou, Phys. Rev. Lett 96, 042002 (2006)]

ヘロト ヘアト ヘビト ヘビト

Structure of $S_{11}(1535)$ and $S_{11}(1650)$ & Coupling constants: Previous Works

Higher Fock components:

 $|S_{11}(1535)\rangle = A_3|qqq\rangle + A|qqqs\bar{s}\rangle$ $\Rightarrow M_{\Lambda(1405)} < M_{Roper} < M_{S_{11}(1535)}$ [B. C. Liu and B. S. Zou, Phys. Rev. Lett 96, 042002 (2006)]

Sizable strangeness components in $S_{11}(1535) \Rightarrow$ good description for $\gamma^*N \rightarrow S_{11}(1535)$ [C. S. An and B. S. Zou, Eur. Phys. J. A **39**, 195 (2009)] [C. S. An, Chinese. Phys. C **33**, 1393 (2009).]

Strong decays? Coupling constants $g_{S_{11}MB}$, $MB = \pi N, \eta N, K\Lambda, K\Sigma, \eta' N$?

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

Structure of $S_{11}(1535)$ and $S_{11}(1650)$ & Coupling constants: Previous Works

Higher Fock components:

 $|S_{11}(1535)\rangle = A_3|qqq\rangle + A|qqqs\bar{s}\rangle$ $\Rightarrow M_{\Lambda(1405)} < M_{Roper} < M_{S_{11}(1535)}$ [B. C. Liu and B. S. Zou, Phys. Rev. Lett 96, 042002 (2006)]

Sizable strangeness components in $S_{11}(1535) \Rightarrow$ good description for $\gamma^*N \rightarrow S_{11}(1535)$ [C. S. An and B. S. Zou, Eur. Phys. J. A **39**, 195 (2009)] [C. S. An, Chinese. Phys. C **33**, 1393 (2009).]

Strong decays? Coupling constants $g_{S_{11}MB}$, $MB = \pi N, \eta N, K\Lambda, K\Sigma, \eta' N$?

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

The wave function model The transition amplitudes

2 Framework: Chiral quark model

Chunsheng An and Bijan Saghai NSTAR2011, JLAB, USA, 17-20 May 2011

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

ъ

The wave function model The transition amplitudes

Three-quark system: $SU(6) \otimes O(3)$ limit

Hamitonian:

$$H = \sum_{i=1}^{3} m_i + \sum_{i=1}^{3} \frac{p_i^2}{2m_i} + \sum_{i < j} V(r_{ij}) + H_{hyp}$$
(1)

Potential term:

$$V(r_{ij}) = \frac{1}{2} K r_{ij}^2 + U(r_{ij})$$
(2)

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

3

 $SU(6) \otimes O(3)$ wave functions for $S_{11}(1535)$ and $S_{11}(1650)$:

$$|N({}^{2}_{8}P_{M})_{\frac{1}{2}^{-}}, S_{z}\rangle = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{m,s_{z}} C^{\frac{1}{2}S_{z}}_{1m,\frac{1}{2}s_{z}} [(|N\rangle_{\rho}|\frac{1}{2}, s_{z}\rangle_{\lambda} + |N\rangle_{\lambda}|\frac{1}{2}, s_{z}\rangle_{\rho})\varphi^{\rho}_{11m}(\vec{\lambda}, \vec{\rho}) + (|N\rangle_{\rho}|\frac{1}{2}, s_{z}\rangle_{\rho} - |N\rangle_{\lambda}|\frac{1}{2}, s_{z}\rangle_{\lambda})\varphi^{\lambda}_{11m}(\vec{\lambda}, \vec{\rho})], \qquad (3)$$
$$|N({}^{4}_{8}P_{M})_{\frac{1}{2}^{-}}, S_{z}\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \sum_{m,s_{z}} C^{\frac{1}{2}S_{z}}_{1m,\frac{3}{2}s_{z}} [|N\rangle_{\rho}|\frac{3}{2}, s_{z}\rangle\varphi^{\rho}_{11m}(\vec{\lambda}, \vec{\rho}) + |N\rangle_{\lambda}|\frac{3}{2}, s_{z}\rangle \varphi^{\lambda}_{11m}(\vec{\lambda}, \vec{\rho})], \qquad (4)$$

The wave function model The transition amplitudes

Three-quark system: $SU(6) \otimes O(3)$ breakdown

 $SU(6) \otimes O(3)$ symmetry breakdown & Configurations mixing:

$$\begin{pmatrix} |S_{11}(1535), S_z\rangle \\ |S_{11}(1650), S_z\rangle \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \cos\theta_S & -\sin\theta_S \\ \sin\theta_S & \cos\theta_S \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} |N({}^2_8P_M)_{\frac{1}{2}^-}, S_z\rangle \\ |N({}^4_8P_M)_{\frac{1}{2}^-}, S_z\rangle \end{pmatrix}$$
(5)

 θ_{S} :

$$\begin{pmatrix} \langle N({}^{2}_{8}P_{M})_{\frac{1}{2}^{-}}, S_{z}|H_{hyp}|N({}^{2}_{8}P_{M})_{\frac{1}{2}^{-}}, S_{z} \rangle & \langle N({}^{2}_{8}P_{M})_{\frac{1}{2}^{-}}, S_{z}|H_{hyp}|N({}^{4}_{8}P_{M})_{\frac{1}{2}^{-}}, S_{z} \rangle \\ \langle N({}^{4}_{8}P_{M})_{\frac{1}{2}^{-}}, S_{z}|H_{hyp}|N({}^{2}_{8}P_{M})_{\frac{1}{2}^{-}}, S_{z} \rangle & \langle N({}^{4}_{8}P_{M})_{\frac{1}{2}^{-}}, S_{z}|h_{hyp}|N({}^{4}_{8}P_{M})_{\frac{1}{2}^{-}}, S_{z} \rangle \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\tag{6}$$

ヘロト 人間 ト ヘヨト ヘヨト

ъ

The wave function model The transition amplitudes

Strangeness components

Wave function for S_{11} resonances with strangness components:

$$|S_{11}\rangle = N[|S_{11}\rangle_{3q} + \frac{3q\langle S_{11}|V_{cou}|\psi_{s\bar{s}}\rangle}{M_{S_{11}} - E_{s\bar{s}}}\psi_{s\bar{s}}]$$
(7)

$$\psi_{s\bar{s}} = \sum_{abc} C^{[1^4]}_{[31]_a [211]_a} C^{[31]_a}_{[211]_b [22]_c} [4]_X [211]_F(b) [22]_S(c) [211]_C(a) \times \bar{\chi}_{s_z} \varphi(\{\vec{\xi_i}\}), \qquad (8)$$

[An and Riska, Eur. Phys. J. A **37**, 263 (2008)] [An and Zou, Eur. Phys. J. A **39**, 195 (2009)] [An and Saghai, in preparation]

ヘロト ヘ戸ト ヘヨト ヘヨト

The wave function model The transition amplitudes

Formalism

Transition amplitude for $S_{11} \rightarrow MB$:

$${}^{M} = \langle B | \hat{T}^{M} | S_{11} \rangle$$

$$= \langle B | \hat{T}^{M} \{ A_{3q}^{S_{11}} | qqq \rangle^{S_{11}} + A_{s\bar{s}}^{S_{11}} | qqqq\bar{q} \rangle^{S_{11}} \}$$

$$= A_{3}^{S_{11}} \langle B | \hat{T}_{3}^{M} | qqq \rangle^{S_{11}} + A_{s\bar{s}}^{S_{11}} \langle B | \hat{T}_{53}^{M} | qqqq\bar{q} \rangle^{S_{11}}$$

$$= \langle \hat{T}_{D}^{M} \rangle + \langle \hat{T}_{ND}^{M} \rangle$$
(9)

Meson-quark-quark coupling:

Т

$$\mathcal{L}_{Mqq} = i \frac{g_A^q}{2f_M} \bar{\psi}_q \gamma_5 \gamma_\mu \partial^\mu m_a \lambda_a \psi_q \,. \tag{10}$$

イロト 不得 とくほと くほとう

 \Rightarrow Diagonal transition operator:

$$\hat{T}_D^M = \sum_j \frac{g_A^q}{2f_M} (\frac{\omega_M}{E_f + M_f} \sigma \cdot \vec{P}_f - \sigma \cdot \vec{k}_M + \frac{\omega_M}{2\mu} \sigma \cdot \vec{p}_j) X_M^j \exp\{-i\vec{k}_M \cdot \vec{r}_j\}.$$
 (11)

The wave function model The transition amplitudes

Formalism

Non-diagonal transtion operator:

$$\hat{T}_{ND}^{M} = \sum_{i} \frac{g_{A}^{q}}{2f_{M}} C_{XFSC}^{i}(m_{i} + m_{f}) \bar{\chi}_{z}^{\dagger} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \chi_{z}^{i} X_{M}^{i} \exp\{-i\vec{k}_{M} \cdot \vec{r}_{j}\}, \quad (12)$$



★ E → ★ E →

3

A B + A B +
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A

qqq model: $SU(6) \otimes O(3)$ conserved qqq model: $SU(6) \otimes O(3)$ broken Results includ contributions of 5Q

3 Numerical Results

Chunsheng An and Bijan Saghai NSTAR2011, JLAB, USA, 17-20 May 2011

イロト 不得 とくほと くほとう

2

 $qqq \mod el: SU(6) \otimes O(3)$ conserved $qqq \mod el: SU(6) \otimes O(3)$ broken Results includ contributions of 5Q

3.1 qqq model: $SU(6) \otimes O(3)$ conserved

Model parameters

- (1) Constituent quark masses: m = 0.34, $m_s = 0.46$ GeV;
- (2) Harmonic oscillator parameter: ω_3 in range 0.1 0.4 GeV

ヘロン 人間 とくほ とくほ とう

э.

 $qqq \mod el: SU(6) \otimes O(3)$ conserved

æ

Decay widths $\Gamma_{\pi N}$ and $\Gamma_{\eta N}$ of $S_{11}(1535)$ and $S_{11}(1650)$ as functions of the oscillator parameter ω_3 :



 $qqq \mod el: SU(6) \otimes O(3)$ conserved $qqq \mod el: SU(6) \otimes O(3)$ broken Results includ contributions of 5Q

3.2 qqq model: $SU(6) \otimes O(3)$ broken

Model parameters (1) Constituent quark masses: m = 0.34, $m_s = 0.46$ GeV; (2) Harmonic oscillator parameter: ω_3 in range 0.1 - 0.4 GeV (3) The mixing angle: θ_S , $OGE \Rightarrow 32^\circ$, $OBE \Rightarrow -13^\circ$, we treat it as free parameter in the range $-\frac{\pi}{4}$ to $\frac{\pi}{4}$;

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

 $qqq \mod el: SU(6) \otimes O(3)$ conserved $qqq \mod el: SU(6) \otimes O(3)$ broken Results includ contributions of 5Q

qqq model with $SU(6) \otimes O(3)$ broken: $\theta_S < 0$

 $S_{11}(1535)$ and $S_{11}(1650)$ decay widths as functions of ω_3 , θ_S is taken to be -45° , -30° and -15° , respectively



くロト (過) (目) (日)

æ

 $qqq \mod el: SU(6) \otimes O(3)$ conserved $qqq \mod el: SU(6) \otimes O(3)$ broken Results includ contributions of 5Q

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

qqq model with $SU(6) \otimes O(3)$ broken: $\theta_S > 0$

 $S_{11}(1535)$ and $S_{11}(1650)$ decay widths as functions of ω_3 , θ_S is taken to be 15°, 30° and 45°, respectively



Chunsheng An and Bijan Saghai NSTAR2011, JLAB, USA, 17-20 May 2011

 $\begin{array}{l} qqq \mbox{ model: } SU(6)\otimes O(3) \mbox{ conserved} \\ qqq \mbox{ model: } SU(6)\otimes O(3) \mbox{ broken} \\ \mbox{ Results includ contributions of 5Q} \end{array}$

・ロン ・ 同 と ・ ヨ と ・ ヨ と

3.3 Results includ contributions of 5Q

Model parameters

(1) Constituent quark masses: m = 0.29, $m_s = 0.43$ GeV;

(2) Harmonic oscillator parameter: $\omega_3 = 0.34$ GeV, $\omega_5 = 0.6$ GeV;

(3) The mixing angle: θ_{S} in $0 - \frac{\pi}{4}$;

(4) Probabilities of 5Q: $P_{s\bar{s}} = 0 - 100\%$, $P'_{s\bar{s}} = 0 - 100\%$.

 $qqq \mod el: SU(6) \otimes O(3) \text{ conserved}$ $qqq \mod el: SU(6) \otimes O(3) \text{ broken}$ Results includ contributions of 5Q

ヘロト 人間 とくほとくほとう

э.

$$\theta_S = 28^\circ$$
:



 Introduction
 qqq model: $SU(6) \otimes O(3)$ conser

 Framework: Chiral quark model
 qqq model: $SU(6) \otimes O(3)$ broken

 Numerical Results
 Conclusion

 Results includ contributions of 5Q

26.9°< θ_S < 29.8°;

```
S_{11}(1535): P_{s\bar{s}}=21-30%; S_{11}(1650): P'_{s\bar{s}}=11-18% \Rightarrow
```

Table: Strong decay widths for $S_{11}(1535)$ and $S_{11}(1650)$.

| N* | Γ _{tot} | πN | ηN | KΛ | Ref |
|------------------------|------------------|-----------------------|------------------------|--------------------|-------------------------|
| S ₁₁ (1535) | 150 | 52 - 83 | 67 - 90 | | PDG2010 |
| | | 53 - 62 | 68 - 90 | | Present work |
| S ₁₁ (1650) | 165 | 99 - 157 138 - 148 | 0.2 - 7.4 1.7 - 7.3 | 4.1 - 5.4 4 - 5 | PDG2010 Present work |

◆□> ◆□> ◆豆> ◆豆> ・豆 ・ のへで

 $qqq \mod : SU(6) \otimes O(3)$ conserved $qqq \mod : SU(6) \otimes O(3)$ broken Results includ contributions of 5Q

Numerical results for the coupling constants

Table: Coupling constants.

| N * | $\pi^{0}p$ | π^+ <i>n</i> | ηp | $K^+ \Lambda$ | Ref. |
|------------|------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|------------|
| 1535 | \pm (0.68 \pm 0.08) | $\pm(0.96\pm0.11)$ | $\pm(2.07\pm0.15)$ | | Data |
| | $\textbf{-0.63} \pm \textbf{0.03}$ | $\textbf{0.89} \pm \textbf{0.04}$ | $\textbf{-2.07} \pm \textbf{0.15}$ | $\textbf{1.76} \pm \textbf{0.02}$ | 3Q + 5Q |
| | $\textbf{-0.54} \pm \textbf{0.03}$ | $\textbf{0.77} \pm \textbf{0.04}$ | $\textbf{-2.67} \pm \textbf{0.03}$ | 1.39 ± 0.03 | 3 <i>Q</i> |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| 1650 | \pm (0.89 \pm 0.10) | \pm (1.26 \pm 0.14) | \pm (0.27 \pm 0.20) | \pm (0.53 \pm 0.04) | Data |
| | $\textbf{-0.94} \pm \textbf{0.02}$ | $\textbf{1.33} \pm \textbf{0.03}$ | $\textbf{0.35} \pm \textbf{0.12}$ | 0.51 ± 0.03 | 3Q + 5Q |
| | $\textbf{-0.75} \pm \textbf{0.02}$ | 1.06 ± 0.03 | $\textbf{0.70} \pm \textbf{0.10}$ | $\textbf{0.78} \pm \textbf{0.05}$ | 3 <i>Q</i> |
| | | | | | |
| Datas | | | | | |

Data:

$$\Gamma^{PDG}_{S_{11} \to MB} = \frac{1}{4\pi} g^2_{S_{11}MB} \frac{E_f + M_f}{M_i} |\vec{k}_M|, \qquad (13)$$

イロト 不得 とくほと くほとう

3

Chunsheng An and Bijan Saghai NSTAR2011, JLAB, USA, 17-20 May 2011

 $qqq \mod : SU(6) \otimes O(3) \text{ conserved} \\ qqq \mod : SU(6) \otimes O(3) \text{ broken} \\ \text{Results includ contributions of 5Q}$

Continued:

Table: Coupling constants.

| N* | $K^0\Sigma^+$ | $K^+\Sigma^0$ | $\eta' p$ | Ref. |
|----------------|------------------------------------|------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|------------|
| $S_{11}(1535)$ | | | | |
| | 1.81 ± 0.06 | $\textbf{-1.28} \pm 0.04$ | $\textbf{3.33} \pm \textbf{0.10}$ | 3Q + 5Q |
| | 1.03 ± 0.04 | $\textbf{-0.73} \pm \textbf{0.03}$ | $\textbf{3.20} \pm \textbf{0.04}$ | 3 <i>Q</i> |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| $S_{11}(1650)$ | | | | |
| | $\textbf{-2.17} \pm 0.05$ | 1.53 ± 0.04 | $\textbf{-1.62} \pm 0.14$ | 3Q + 5Q |
| | $\textbf{-1.36} \pm \textbf{0.04}$ | $\textbf{0.96} \pm \textbf{0.03}$ | $\textbf{-1.35} \pm 0.17$ | 3 <i>Q</i> |

 $\begin{array}{l} S_{11}(1535) \Rightarrow |g_{\pi^0 \rho}| < |g_{\pi^+ \rho}| < |g_{K^+ \Sigma^0}| < |g_{K^+ \Lambda}| \sim |g_{K^0 \Sigma^+}| \le |g_{\eta P}| < |g_{\eta' \rho}| \\ S_{11}(1650) \Rightarrow |g_{\eta \rho}| < |g_{K^+ \Lambda}| < |g_{\pi^0 \rho}| < |g_{\pi^- \rho}| \le |g_{K^+ \Sigma^0}| \sim |g_{\eta' \rho}| < |g_{K^0 \Sigma^+}| \end{array}$

ヘロン ヘアン ヘビン ヘビン

3

Conclusion

- By qqq model without or with SU(6) ⊗ O(3) breaking, strong decays data cannot be reproduced;
- 26.9°< θ_S < 29.8°, P_{ss̄} = 21 30% and P'_{ss̄} = 11 18%: With the contributions of the 5Q components, results are in excellent agreement with data;
- The two resonances couple strongly to strangeness channels;
- Role of the five-quark components in the meson production dominated by these two resonances is under investigation.

ヘロト 人間 ト 人 ヨ ト 人 ヨ ト

Thank you very much for your attention!

Chunsheng An and Bijan Saghai NSTAR2011, JLAB, USA, 17-20 May 2011

ヘロト 人間 とくほとくほとう

Hyperfine interaction between the quarks:

$$H_{hyp}^{OGE} = \sum_{i < j} \frac{2\alpha_s}{3m_i m_j} \{ \frac{8\pi}{3} \vec{S}_i \cdot \vec{S}_j \delta^3(\vec{r}_{ij}) + \frac{1}{r_{ij}^3} [\frac{3\vec{S}_i \cdot \vec{r}_{ij}\vec{S}_j \cdot \vec{r}_{ij}}{r_{ij}^2} - \vec{S}_i \cdot \vec{S}_j] \}$$
(14)

[N. Isgur and G. karl, Phys. Rev. D 18, 4187 (1978).]

イロト 不得 とくほと くほとう

∃ 900

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H}_{hyp}^{OBE} &= \sum_{i < j} \sum_{F} \frac{g^{2}}{4\pi} \frac{1}{12m_{i}m_{j}} \vec{\sigma}_{i} \cdot \vec{\sigma}_{j} \vec{\lambda}_{i}^{F} \cdot \vec{\lambda}_{j}^{F} \left(\mu^{2} \frac{e^{-\mu r_{ij}}}{r_{ij}} - 4\pi \delta(\vec{r}_{ij})\right) \\ &+ \mathcal{H}_{T} \\ \mathcal{H}_{T} &= \sum_{i < j} \sum_{F} \frac{g^{2}}{4\pi} \frac{1}{12m_{i}m_{j}} \frac{\mu^{2} e^{-\mu r_{ij}}}{r_{ij}} (1 + \frac{3}{\mu r_{ij}} + \frac{3}{\mu^{2} r_{ij}^{2}}) \vec{\lambda}_{i}^{F} \cdot \vec{\lambda}_{j}^{F} \left(\frac{3\vec{\sigma}_{i} \cdot \vec{r}_{ij}\vec{\sigma}_{j} \cdot \vec{r}_{ij}}{r_{ij}^{2}} - \vec{\sigma}_{i} \cdot \vec{\sigma}_{j}\right) \end{aligned}$$
(15)

[Glozman and Riska, Phys. Rept. 268, 263 (1996).]

$$\Rightarrow heta_{S}^{OGE} \simeq$$
 32°, $heta_{S}^{OBE} \simeq -$ 13°

Vector meson exchage

[L. Ya. Glozman, nucl-th/9909021 (1999)] [R. Wagenbrunn, L. Ya. Glozman, W. Plessas, K. Varga, Nucl. Phys. A 663, 703c (2000)]

 $26.9^{\circ} < \theta_S < 29.8^{\circ};$ $S_{11}(1535)$: $P_{s\bar{s}}=21-30\%$; $S_{11}(1650)$: $P'_{s\bar{s}}=11-18\%$

| N* | Γ _{tot} | πN | ηN | KΛ | Approach |
|------------------------|------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|--------------------|
| S ₁₁ (1535) | 150 | 52 - 83 | 67 - 90 | | PDG2010 |
| | | 53 - 62 | 68 - 90 | | Present work |
| | | 22.4 | 70.1 | | Chiral Unitary [1] |
| | | 22.1 | 60.8 | | Chiral Unitary [1] |
| | 142 | | 50 | | Disp. Rel. [2] |
| | 195 | | 50 | | Isobar [2] |
| S ₁₁ (1650) | 165 | 99 - 157 | 0.2 - 7.4 | 4.1 - 5.4 | PDG2010 |
| | | 138 - 148 | 1.7 - 7.3 | 4 - 5 | Present work |
| | 85 | | 3.8 | | Disp. Rel. [2] |
| | 125 | | 5.5 | | Isobar [2] |
| | 197 | 177 | 20 | 0 | Meson exchange [3] |

T. Inoue, E. Oset and M. J. Vincente Vacas, PRC 65, 035204 (2002).
 I. G. Aznauryan, Phys. Rev. C 68, 065204 (2003).
 K. Nakayama and H. Haberzettl, Phys. Rev. C 69, 065212 (2004).

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □

| N* | $\pi^0 p$ | $\pi^+ n$ | η ρ | $K^+\Lambda$ | $K^0\Sigma^+$ | $K^+\Sigma^0$ | η' ρ | Ref. |
|------------------------|------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|---------------|-------------------------|-----------------------------------|------------|
| S ₁₁ (1535) | $\textbf{-0.63} \pm \textbf{0.03}$ | $\textbf{0.89} \pm \textbf{0.04}$ | $\textbf{-2.07} \pm 0.15$ | 1.76 ± 0.02 | 1.81 ± 0.06 | $\textbf{-1.28}\pm0.04$ | $\textbf{3.33} \pm \textbf{0.10}$ | |
| | ± 0.39 | ± 0.56 | ±1.84 | ±0.92 | ±2.12 | ±1.50 | | [1] |
| | 0.39 | -0.57 | 1.77 | -1.28 | -2.36 | 1.67 | | [1] |
| | ±0.64 | | ±1.88 | | | | ±3.72 | [2] |
| S ₁₁ (1650) | $-0.94 \pm 0.02 \pm 1.18 \ 0.81$ | 1.33 ± 0.03 | $\begin{array}{c} 0.35\pm0.12\\\pm0.68\end{array}$ | $0.51 \pm 0.03 \\ \pm 0.80 \\ 0.76$ | -2.17 ± 0.05 | 1.53 ± 0.04 | -1.62 ± 0.14 | [3] [4] |

$$\begin{split} S_{11}(1535) \Rightarrow |g_{\pi^0\rho}| < |g_{\pi^+n}| < |g_{K^+\Sigma^0}| < |g_{K^+\Lambda}| \sim |g_{K^0\Sigma^+}| \le |g_{\eta\rho}| < |g_{\eta'\rho}| \\ S_{11}(1650) \Rightarrow |g_{\eta\rho}| < |g_{K^+\Lambda}| < |g_{\pi^0\rho}| < |g_{\pi^-\rho}| \le |g_{K^+\Sigma^0}| \sim |g_{\eta'\rho}| < |g_{K^0\Sigma^+}| \end{split}$$

T. Inoue, E. Oset and m. J. Vincente Vacas, PRC 65, 035204 (2002).
 X. Cao and X. G. Lee, Phys. Rev. C 78, 035207 (2008).
 A. Sibirtsev, K. Tsushima and A. W. Thomas, Phys. Lett B 421, 59 (1998).
 R. Shyam, H. Lenske and U. Mosel, Phys. Rev. C 69, 065205 (2004).

・ロト ・ 理 ト ・ ヨ ト ・

э.

$$F = \frac{\Lambda^4}{\Lambda^4 + (q_{N^*}^2 - M_{N^*}^2)^2},$$
 (17)

Chunsheng An and Bijan Saghai NSTAR2011, JLAB, USA, 17-20 May 2011